UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,757	06/25/2007	Roger John Gillespie	010180.00052	2944
22907 BANNER & W	7590 06/15/200 ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STRI		STONE, CHRISTOPHER R		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/588,757	GILLESPIE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	CHRISTOPHER R. STONE	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extraction date of the structure of the strength o	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.13	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 42. Claim(s) rejected: 19 and 23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 20.	· -	l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			
	/Patricia A. Duffy/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1645	
	•		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment would necessitate the extension of the examination to compounds species other than the two that have already been examined.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to the request to withdrawn the finality of the previous office action, the previous amendment did necessitate the new rejection by rendering the elected specie allowable and thus requiring the extension of the examination to another compound specie. Applicant argues that the hydroxy substitution in the 2-hydroxyphenyl moiety of the compound of Lee et al, excludes the compound from the instant claims (p. 10 and 11 of the reply filed June 3, 2009). This is found unpersuasive because it is noted that the negative limitation (c) in the last 3 lines of claim 19 specifies that R2 is not a phenyl substituted by one or more of the substituents listed in the alternative. The R2 group of 2-amino-6-[5-(2-fluoro-4-methylbenzoyl)-2-hydroxyphenyl]-N-[2-(1-pyrrolidinyl)ethyl]-4-pyrimidinecarboxamide is not a phenyl substituted by nitro, NH2, etc.. As currently drafted, the claim does not require that the phenyl not be substituted by ANY of the listed substituents, the phenyl need only to not be substituted by any one of the listed substituents. Additionally it is noted that an amendment requiring that the phenyl not be substituted by ANY of the listed substituents would require further search and consideration.